Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

CONSTRUCTION CONFLICTS AND SOLUTION MANAGEMENT IN URBAN AREA

Asst.Prof.Mamata B Rajgor¹, Bajaniya Meet S²
Asst.Prof. in Civil Engineering Department,Student of MTech(C.P.M.)2ndYear
Parul Institute of Technology,Parul University,Vadodara,Gujarat.

ABSTRACT: If a disagreement is not settled right away, it will only get worse and become intractable. As a result, effective conflict settlement techniques are crucial to the achievement of any undertaking. During the project's implementation in a metropolitan region, a number of problems pop up that need to be fixed right away with theright answer. Numerous primary contractual parties, ill-prepared and/or performed contract papers, a lack of sophisticated technologies, bad planning, financial problems, a lack of qualified employees, and communicationissues all contribute to theemergence ofmany building disputes. Themethods used to avoid and/or resolvebuilding disputes in metropolitan areas are examined in this article. Reviewing various procedures will helpdeterminewhichisbestfora givencircumstance.

Keywords: Construction problem and resolution in urban area, prevent construction conflicts, solve construction conflicts by using different processes

1. Introduction

Indiaisadevelopingnationandmorethanhalfofthepopulationcontinuestoliveinurbanareas. Theinfrastructure in urban areas is still not strong. In construction projects, we are not that strong and this is theprimary part of the infrastructure. In order to increase the efficiency of construction, conflicts during constructionmust be reduced. During the implementation of construction project, the conflicts need to be reduced in order toboost construction quality. Disputes occur because the conditions set in contract are not met by contractor. Inconstruction work, several individuals are involved, such as contractors, subcontractors, developers, architects, structure designers, etc.

2. LiteratureReview

The literature survey on construction disputes and differences shows that the words are used vaguely. You canuse the words "dispute," "claim," and "conflict" individually or in combination. Sometimes, the precise nature ofeach use is not made explicitly obvious. There is also a lack of clarification regarding the researcher's use of theterms "claims," "controversies," or "conflicts. "Is there a difference between disputes and conflict? The twowords are shared by some authors, others point to differences in conceptuality. However both are differentnotions'. Conflict can be handled, perhaps even to the point of averting a quarrel that would otherwise arise. Conflict is linked to specific legitimate problems. Generally speaking, a third party's involvement makes the conflict settlement procedure feasible. Separating the multiple(two) categories and using a more rigid design would therefore help to improve efficient administration of disagreements and disputes.

3. DataRequirements

It is very important that contactor provide all the information to the engineer. The documents should contain all the details about the construction work for example costs, additional work, estimated time and work order.

3.1 Typesofconstructiondisputesinurbanareas

There are many types of construction disputes in urban areas. The major ones are delay in work, change of workorder, extra items, material quality, damages and transportation.

We'll talk about several of the types of building conflicts that are mentioned below. They are primarily concernedwith the proprietor, contractor, plan, contract, typical human conduct, and other variables like governmentapproval, etc. In urban areas many construction projects are not finish in time due to disputes. Many constructionindustry professionals have looked into the root causes of building conflicts, claiming that lawsuits are now aninevitable by product of themetropolitanconstructionindustry. If they are not addressed in short time the project can be delayed, that can destroy business relationships. Extension of time (EOT) is a common action inconstruction projects, When construction started, contractor and engineer often monitor amount of time which is delayed. The reasons behind delayed in construction project are late payment, shortage of materials and labors, not enough skilled labor and old construction

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

techniques.

3.2 Claim settlement method

If the worker finds the issue, he should attempt to fix it or avoid it. He should compose a note to the proprietor tomake an official case if he doesn't or is unable to speak with them in person. This is the first step in the directionof Procedure of argument. At daily meetings, the issue is discussed or a special meeting can take place. Toresolve or address this conflict, arrange it. If none of that worked, conciliation could be used. A good method of resolving the conflict Otherwise, the disputes might be resolved through other means such as arbitration orlitigation.. Arbitration is a mechanism in which a third party is independent of, but independent of, the parties they can pick them, make an award to decide the dispute. The award is binding and tied to the courts will impose them. Litigation is a conflict settlement (used if all other venues fail) a procedure that is inquisitorial and fierce, in which the disputant brings lawful activity against the other party by showing up in court. Notwithstanding, theadvantage of claim is that the adjudicator has authority, It is essential to execute the order or judgement and obtain the "truth" from the parties, supported by additional agencies in charge of law enforcement. Third-partyverification is another option. A disagreement is very similar to a short hearing. Instead of a judge hearing thecase, the expert and other high-ranking business officials from both parties will do so in the mini-trial. Thesettling power should be under the complete control of the designee. Typically, an impartial third party sits inwith the agent of the parties to listen to the Proofs and claims, at which point any suitable decisions regarding themanagement of the mechanism can be made. A third party facilitates communication between the parties throughthe confidential, fast, and affordable process of mediation within, to give the participants the freedom to decide independently—a choice that is originally not enforceable, negotiating parties But they must consent to be constrained by their chosen course of action.

4. Datacollection

via a study that involved the creation of surveys that were disseminated to various designators engaged in thebuilding industry, including purchasers, investors, contractors, and architects from the Uttar Pradesh capital city ofLucknow. A total of 113 surveys were given out during the data collection procedure at the level of selection, and 70 remarks were gathered from those, returned after delivery. Contractors provided 36 responses, developers provided 23 responses, and developers provided 11 responses out of the total were engineers.

Sr. No	Respondent	Questionnaire distributed	Responses received	Percentage of responses
1	Owner	52	36	69.23%
2	Contractor	38	23	60.52%
3	Architect	23	11	47.83%

Table 1 Data Collection Details

5.1Dataanalysis

According to the present research, "finance and payment issues" come in first place out of all "Unfavorable weather," asstated, is the source of disagreement creation and the least important rating factor. Table 2 is used. According to therespondents, disputes in the building sector hurt both sides' reputations. Gaining the top spot for "damaging companyreputation" indicates that this has been noted. The "dispute escalation" factor, as seen in problems and responses, has the lowest ranking, as seen in It's Table 3. Table 4 demonstrates that adjudication is the most common type of dispute. Litigation is the shortest method forresolving disputes.

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Table2 Ranks there asonswhy building disputes occur

No	Determinants	Weighted	Weight	RII	RII
		average	average	Index	Rank
		index	rank		
A	Finance and payment issue	4.68	1	0.935	1
В	Time overrun	4.41	7	0.89	7
С	Cost overrun	4.47	6	0.90	6
D	Price escalation	3.62	14	0.724	14
E	Work change orders	4.48	5	0.895	5
F	Poor communication	3.94	12	0.786	12
G	Design errors	4.57	4	0.912	4
Н	Inclement weather	3.12	17	0.623	17
I	Extra items	4.61	3	0.93	3
J	Un for seen site condition	3.72	13	0.744	13
K	Poor work quality	4.64	2	0.927	2
L	Incomplete information in tender	4.3	9	0.857	9
M	Delay in issuing site, drawings, materials	4.38	8	0.875	8
N	Return of security deposit	3.21	16	0.64	16
О	Unfair allocation of risk	3.27	15	0.652	15
P	Delay in clients response	4.18	11	0.835	11
Q	Mistakes in contract documents	4.24	10	0.847	10

Table3 ranks building disagreement reasons by severity

No	Determinants	Weighted	Weight	RII	RII
		average	average	Index	Rank
		index	rank		
A	Damaged business	4.64	2	0.929	2
	relationship				
В	Increased project cost	4.49	3	0.897	3
С	Project delays	4.39	6	0.877	6
D	Undermine team spirit	3.54	7	0.709	7
Е	Damaged company	4.67	1	0.934	1
	reputation				
F	Dispute escalation	3.37	8	0.674	8
G	Poor client satisfaction	4.41	5	0.883	5
Н	Delay in project	4.49	4	0.897	4
	completion				

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Table4 ranks the various conflict settlement techniques.

No	Determinants	Weighted	Weight	RII	RII
		average	average	Index	Rank
		index	rank		
A	Adjudication	2.8	5	0.56	5
В	Arbitration	1.99	6	0.4	6
С	Dispute review board	1.4	7	0.28	7
D	Expert determination	4.37	3	0.87	3
Е	Litigation	1.27	8	0.25	8
F	Mini-trial	3.36	4	0.67	4
G	Mediation	4.53	2	0.91	2
Н	Negotiation	5.2	1	1	1

5. Resultand Discussion

It has been debated how building disputes are affected by their sources, how they affect construction disputes, and how to resolve them.

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Percentageand rank tocauses of construction dispute

Major causes of dispute	Percentage	Rank
Finance and payment issue	93.43	1
Tim e over run	88.00	7
Cost over run	89.14	6
Price escalation	72.29	14
Work change order	89.43	5
Poor communication	78.57	12
Design errors	91.14	4
Inclement weather	62.29	17
Extra items	92.00	3
Un for seen site condition	74.29	13
Poor work quality	92.57	2
Incomplete information in tender	85.71	9
Delay in issuing site, Drawings,	87.43	8
Materials		
Return of security deposit	64.00	16
Un fair allocation of risk	65.14	15
Delay in clients response	83.43	11
Mistakes in contract document	84.57	10

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Percentageandrank toimpact of construction dispute

No	Determinants	percentage	RII
			Rank
A	Damaged business relationship	92.86	2
В	Increased project cos	89.71	3
С	Project delays	87.71	6
D	Undermine team spirit	70.86	7
Е	Damaged company reputation	93.43	1
F	Dispute escalation	67.43	8
G	Poor client satisfaction	88.29	5
Н	Delay in project completion	89.71	4

Percentageand rank todisputeresolution method used

No	Determinants	Percentage	RII
			Rank
A	Adjudication	56.00	5
В	Arbitration	39.71	6
С	Dispute review board	28.00	7
D	Expert determination	87.43	3
Е	Litigation	25.43	8
F	Mini-trial	67.14	4
G	Mediation	90.57	2

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

6. CONCLUSIONANDRECOMMENDATION

Financial problems and disagreements among plaintiffs are the most common outcomes of claims, as shown by thisresearch, suggesting that monetary and payment-related issues are the most common causes of claims. both thesuperintendent and the worker. The damage to company reputation is the most severe and detrimental consequence of the building dispute. A crucial component of the deal are the documents, the moment the piece was written. The conversation breaks down when neither party is willing to budge on their position that no adjustments can be made to the contractor project. Owners frequently try to justify their actions by claiming that the job was of poor quality or that the last payment was insufficient. There are a number of processing errors. Depending on the level of expertise of the trained labour and leadership present, such errors or implementation quality may occur. It is advised that the contract terms that pertain to the payment divide the total amount due into a greater number of lesserinstallments, and. Conflict involving building. Thus, money delays may be reduced. If a financial organization that finances the project exists, it is advised hatthe workerget in touch with it immediately. Once the worker has received the owner's representative's permission, they can immediately gather thefunds from the financial institutions. Before accepting the contract, it is advised that the proprietor make use of the consultant's expertise. The development of a connection between the proprietor and the worker is the best solution for disputingit. The problems should be addressed by each organization as soon as they arise.

Acknowledgment

The Authors thankfully acknowledge to Dr. Devanshu Patel, President of Parul university, Dr. Vipul Vekariya, Dean of Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Dr. Swapnil Parikh, Principal, Parul Institute of Technology, Dr. JayminKantilalBhalani, Vice Principal of PIT, Prof.Rina Chokshi, Head of Civil Engineering Department, PIET, Asst. Prof. Shilpa Pathak Head of Civil Engineering Department, PIT, Asst. Prof. Mamata B. Rajgor, Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, PIT, Vadodara for their motivations and infrastructural support to carry out this research.

References

- [1] AshwiniArunSalukhe,RahulSPatil,"EffectofConstructiondelaysonProjectTimeOverrun: Indian Scenario, IRJET: International Journal of Research in Engineering andTechnologyeISSN: 2319-1163/pISSN: 2321-7308.
- [2] Brown.H., & Marriott.A. (1993). ADRPrinciples and Practice. London Sweet and Maxwell.
- [3] Burton.J.(1990).Conflict:ResolutionandPrevention.Besingstoke/Newyork:Macmillan/St. Martin'sPress.
- [4] Collins(1995).CollinsCobuildEnglishDictionary.HarperCollinsLondon.
- [5] Diekmaan, JE. Girard. MJ (1995). Are Contract Disputes Predictable? ASCE Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 121(4), pp. 355-363.
- [6] Easton.G.R.(1989). "ConstructionClaims" Dept. of Civ. Engg.. Loughboroughuniversity. U.K.
- [7] EdwinH.W.ChanandHenryC.H.Suen(2004)"DisputeResolutionManagementforInternational Construction Projects in China" Emerald Management Decision Vol 43 No.4,pp.589-602.
- [8] EmreCakmakandCakmak.P.I(2014), "AN AnalysisofCausesOfDisputesintheConstruction Industry Using Analytical Network Process", Procedia- Social andBehaviouralSciences109,183-187.
- [9] Fenn,P..Lowe.D.&Speck,C.(1997).Conflictanddisputeinconstruction.ConstructionmanagementandEconomics,1 5(6), 513-518.
 K.C.Iyer,NitinChapalkar,"FactorsInfluencingDecisionsonDelayClaimsinConstructionContract
 - forIndianScenarion", AustralasianJournalofConstructionEconomicsandBuildings.
- [10] Lew Yoke Lian, S. Hassim, R.Muniandy, and tan Meeling, "The Assessment of application for Extension of Time Claims in Malaysian Construction Industry" IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 4, No. 4, August 2012.
- [11] Wilmot, W.W., and Hocker, J.L. (1998). Interpersonal Conflict. McGraw-Hill, Boston
- [12] MohdAsim,ShumankDeepandDr.SyedAqeelAhmad,TimeImpactStudyofRealEstateSector Construction Projects Post Application of Lean Principles for Delay Resolutions.*InternationalJournalofCivilEngineeringand Technology*,8(2),2017,pp. 89–99.
- [13] MohdAsim,ShumankDeepandDr.Syed AqeelAhmad(2015).AnalysisofdelaysinIndian real estate sectors and their impacts on overall project performance, NICMARInternationalConference.

Vol 12 Issue 02 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

[14]

ShumankDeep,MohdBilalKhan,SabihAhmadandAdeebaSaeed,AStudyofVariousFactorsAffectingContractor'sP erformancein LowestBidAward ConstructionProjects. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 8(2), 2017,pp. 28–33.