Case Studies: Nanotechnology in Soil Remediation

Arup Kumar Poddar
Professor in Law, The WB National University of Juridical Sciences (NUJS), Kolkata, India
[arup.poddar@nujs.edu]
[***Orcid Id*:** 0009-0008-4493-7037]

Abstract
Read "Case Studies: Nanotechnology in Soil Remediation" for a comprehensive look at how nanotechnology is being used to remove everything from heavy metals and organic pollutants to radioactive waste and agricultural chemicals. This research aims to synthesise the available literature on the mechanisms of nanotechnology in soil remediation and to analyse the various nanomaterials used in this process. This research aims to provide insight into the benefits and drawbacks of applying nanotechnology to soil remediation by analysing four unique case studies. The findings and recommendations presented in this review are aimed to direct further research into, and implementation of, soil remediation technologies that are both long-lasting and efficient.
Keywords: Nanotechnology, Soil Remediation, Nanomaterials, Heavy Metal Contamination, Organic Contaminants, Agricultural Pollutants

Introduction
Recently, promising outcomes have been seen when applying nanotechnology for soil remediation, particularly against heavy metals, organic pollutants, and nuclear waste. The article "Case Studies: Nanotechnology in Soil Remediation" delves into the applicability of nanotechnology in this area by analysing real-world examples. This article examines the mechanics of nanotechnology in soil remediation, explains the different types of nanomaterials utilised, and gives four case studies, each concentrating on a different kind of soil contamination, all of which draw on recent academic research and case studies. This article seeks to provide significant insights and recommendations for future research and implementation of nanotechnology in soil remediation by examining the data across different investigations.
Mechanisms of Nanotechnology in Soil Remediation
Pollutants in soil can be removed by nanotechnology through a number of different mechanisms, including adsorption, degradation, immobilisation, and transformation (Khan, Ahmed, & Zhou, 2022). The high surface-to-volume ratio and strong reactivity of nanoparticles are two of its distinguishing physicochemical qualities that make these mechanisms possible (Li, Zhu, & Zhang, 2023).
Pollutants are affixed to nanoparticle surfaces by a process called adsorption. Heavy metals and some chemical molecules respond well to this technique (Patel, Kumar, & Singh, 2022). The process of degradation, on the other hand, reduces or eliminates the toxicity of contaminants. Organic contaminants including pesticides and hydrocarbons may be degraded with the help of nanoparticles, especially photocatalytic ones (Chen, Wang, & Liu, 2022).
Pollutants in the soil can be rendered less mobile and less accessible using the immobilisation method, which makes use of nanoparticles. Gupta, Rathore, and Sharma (2023) found that this strategy can drastically cut down on the possibility of toxins being absorbed by plants or seeping into the groundwater.
The term "transformation" is used to describe the chemical reactions catalysed by nanoparticles, which convert pollutants into less dangerous forms. Some radioactive waste in the soil can be cleaned up by this process in particular (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).
It is important to recognise the risks and challenges of using nanotechnology in soil remediation, despite its promising capabilities, such as the potential harmful effects on the soil microbiome and the wider environment (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).
Types of Nanomaterials Used

Due to their distinct features and modes of action, nanoparticles are increasingly being employed in soil remediation (Chen, Liang, & Huang, 2023).

Many pollutants, including chlorinated organic compounds and heavy metals, can be degraded or immobilised by using zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) (Zhang, Quan, & Wang, 2022). In addition to immobilising metals by precipitation or adsorption, nZVI particles can degrade organic contaminants through reductive reactions (Karn, Harada, &Sakakibara, 2022)

Soil remediation with titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles is also common, especially for the breakdown of organic contaminants. Under UV radiation, these nanoparticles can produce reactive oxygen species, degrading a wide range of organic contaminants (Chen, Liang, & Huang, 2023).

Soil remediation employs a wide variety of nanomaterials, including carbon-based nanomaterials like carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene in addition to metal and metal oxide nanoparticles. Soil pollutants such heavy metals and organic compounds can be removed using CNTs thanks to their well-known adsorptive capabilities (Jain, Balasubramanian, &Sillanpää, 2022).

Bionanomaterials, such as biochar and chitosan nanoparticles, have attracted attention because of their capacity to effectively adsorb and immobilise pollutants (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023) and because of their sustainability.

Finally, composite nanomaterials are being developed by mixing two or more types of nanoparticles to boost remediation effectiveness and overcome the limits of individual nanomaterials (Li, Chen, & Lin, 2022).

The use of these nanoparticles in soil remediation may have some positive outcomes, but there are also some serious hazards and environmental repercussions that must be taken into account (Thakur, Sharma, & Kumar, 2023) that cannot be ignored.

Methodology

Following strict academic guidelines (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023), the authors of "Case Studies: Nanotechnology in Soil Remediation" followed a multi-stage process to construct their article.

In the first step, we conducted a thorough literature evaluation by searching academic databases for articles, journals, and other scholarly publications that addressed the use of nanotechnology in soil remediation. Nanotechnology, "soil remediation," "nanoparticles," "heavy metals," "organic contaminants," "nuclear waste," and "agricultural pollutants" were all terms that were searched for (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) as keywords.

After conducting a literature review, publications were chosen for inclusion based on criteria such as their suitability to the topic at hand, the quality of their methodology, and how recently they were published. The articles were carefully examined, and the most important findings were synthesised.

The article's main body is divided into sections based on four separate case studies, each of which examines a different kind of soil contamination. The nanotechnology employed, its efficacy, and the difficulties encountered were all investigated in each case study. Key conclusions and recommendations for future study were developed by synthesising data from the case studies (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023).

Literature Review

Several recent research (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023; Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022) support the idea that nanotechnology is a viable option for soil remediation.

The environmental uses of bio-based nanoparticles were the subject of a comprehensive review by Sharma, Sharma, and Sharma (2023). Biochar nanoparticles were studied for their potential in soil remediation, and they were shown to be particularly useful in combating agricultural contaminants. This conclusion is supported by Singh, Mittal, and Kaur's (2022) literature study on the use of nanotechnology in cleaning up polluted soil. The benefits of biochar nanoparticles for lowering nitrate levels were reviewed, but the potential influence on soil microbes and the need for more research on industrial-scale production were also brought to light.

Similar reviews of the efficacy of iron-based nanoparticles, in particular zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI), in treating soils contaminated with heavy metals may be found in the works of Tian, Gao, and Shang (2022) and Jang, Kang, and Hwang (2023). However, both findings highlight the necessity to overcome obstacles like nanoparticle agglomeration and impact on soil microbiota in order to fully realise the potential of nZVI in considerably lowering bioavailable portions of heavy metals.

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles have been studied for their potential in cleaning up polluted soils from organic contaminants by researchers Liu, Chen, and Su (2023) and Zhang, Yu, and Li (2022). TiO2 nanoparticles have been shown to be effective in degrading organic pollutants like DDT, and both investigations corroborate this finding. However, because they require UV radiation for activation, their use is reduced in darker soils or places with less sunlight.

Lastly, Ma, Zhao, and Li (2023) and Wang, Huang, and Cui (2022) investigate the use of carboxymethyl cellulose stabilised nZVI (CMC-nZVI) for the cleanup of nuclear waste-contaminated soils. Both reports highlighted the significant drop in uranium content and bioavailability, demonstrating the method's promise. However, they also prompted worries about the agglomeration of nanoparticles and the necessity of a comprehensive study of their long-term effects on the environment.

Overall, the research confirms nanotechnology's potential in soil remediation while also highlighting the distinct difficulties associated with each nanomaterial. Optimising these technologies, identifying new activation strategies, and studying their cumulative effects on the environment are all areas that should be pursued in future research (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023; Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Detailed Case Studies

Case Study 1: Nanotechnology in the Remediation of Heavy Metal Contaminated Soils

Several effective uses of nanotechnology in cleaning up heavy metal-contaminated soils have been documented in recent years. Zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) were used in one case study to clean up a contaminated location near a defunct metal smelter (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022).

The site's high concentrations of lead, cadmium, and zinc made it a serious threat to the local ecosystem and population. Due to its strong reactivity and specific ability for adsorption and precipitation of heavy metals, researchers turned to nZVI (Joo, Kwon, & Cho, 2023) to address this issue.

When nZVI was applied, the bioavailable percentages of these metals decreased dramatically, which in turn decreased their mobility and exposure risk. For instance, during three weeks of treatment, there was a 60% reduction in bioavailable lead levels (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022).

Soil fertility and structure can be enhanced by the addition of nZVI since it raises pH and electrical conductivity (Joo, Kwon, & Cho, 2023). The potential for in situ application of nanoparticles was also emphasised, with the authors noting that this approach would reduce the amount of soil that would otherwise need to be excavated and disposed of.

Despite the positive results, the researchers did find some caveats to the method. There is a need for more research on the long-term impacts on soil microbiota and the likelihood of nZVI agglomeration, which decreases their responsiveness (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022).

This work highlights the need for further investigation into the long-term effects of nZVI in soil remediation and sheds light on the promising potential of nanotechnology in this area (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023).

Case Study 2: Nanotechnology in the Remediation of Organic Contaminants

Soil remediation is complicated by the permanence and bioaccumulation of organic contaminants including pesticides and petroleum hydrocarbons. At a former pesticide manufacturing site, nanotechnology has been shown to be successful in a recent case study (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023).

DDT, a poisonous chemical, had leached into the ground at an alarming rate. Researchers used titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles to break down this persistent organic contaminant. When exposed to UV radiation, these nanoparticles produce reactive oxygen species that break down organic contaminants (Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

After treatment, DDT levels dropped dramatically, according to the researchers. The promise of TiO2 nanoparticles for soil remediation was demonstrated by the rapid degradation of about 80% of DDT in just three months (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023) compared to conventional bioremediation approaches.
It was also shown that the addition of TiO2 nanoparticles had little effect on the soil's pH or cation exchange capacity, indicating that it had little of an effect on the soil's general health (Chen, Wang, & Liu, 2023).
The researchers, however, did not gloss over the method's flaws. For instance, as TiO2 activation requires UV light, its use may be constrained in darker soils or regions with less daylight (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023).
In conclusion, this case study shows that nanotechnology, and more especially the use of TiO2 nanoparticles, has the ability to degrade persistent organic pollutants, while also highlighting the need for further research on its application under a wide range of environmental circumstances.

Case Study 3: Nanotechnology in the Remediation of Nuclear Waste Contaminated Soils

Due to its high toxicity and long-term durability, nuclear waste is a major contributor to soil pollution. Remediation of soils polluted with uranium, a prevalent component of nuclear waste, has recently been proved using nanotechnology (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) through a case study.
The research was done in an area that had been affected by uranium mining. Researchers employed carboxymethyl cellulose stabilised nZVI (CMC-nZVI) to clean up the uranium in the soil. Uranium (VI) can be converted to uranium (IV), a less mobile and less dangerous form, by the nZVI particles due to their high reactivity (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).
The study found that the soil's uranium content and bioavailability significantly decreased. In just six weeks of therapy, the uranium concentration dropped by around 70% (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).
Significantly, CMC-nZVI application resulted in enhanced soil structure and fertility, which bodes well for the soil ecology (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).
Potential difficulties were also brought to light by the case study. Over time, the nZVI particles tended to clump together, which could dampen their responsiveness and efficacy. Additionally, the impact of nanoparticles on soil microbiota and other environmental concerns should be investigated (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).
In conclusion, the use of CMC-nZVI in this case study demonstrates the potential of nanotechnology for remediating soils contaminated by nuclear waste, while also highlighting the need for further investigation into the long-term impacts of such treatments (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).

Case Study 4: Nanotechnology in the Remediation of Soil Contaminated by Agricultural Pollutants

Excess nutrients and pesticides are only two examples of the agricultural contaminants that can poison the land and water supply. An impressive case study looked at the use of nanotechnology to clean up a previous farm site that had been polluted with nitrate (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).
The high surface area, porosity, and adsorption capacity of biochar nanoparticles (a form of carbon-based nanomaterial) were exploited by the research team to remove nitrate (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023) from the water. The fact that these nanoparticles were manufactured from agricultural waste materials makes this remediation strategy more environmentally friendly (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).
After biochar nanoparticles were added, the nitrate concentration dropped dramatically. Biochar nanoparticles were found to have the potential for nitrate remediation, as nitrate levels reduced by over 80% in just one month (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).
Soil health was enhanced in part due to the incorporation of biochar nanoparticles. Soil organic matter, water storage capacity, and cation exchange capacity all increased as a result (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023)—all positive indications of soil fertility.
However, the research did reveal several problems that could arise. For instance, it could take a lot of time, money, and effort to manufacture and distribute biochar nanoparticles on a wide scale. Soil microorganisms could also be affected, therefore this issue needs to be looked into properly (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).

This case study illustrates the promise of nanotechnology, and more specifically the application of biochar nanoparticles, for remediating agricultural contaminants' effects on soil quality. Further study into the larger ramifications and practicality of such approaches is also highlighted (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023).

Table-1 Visual Representation of 4 cases studies

Case Study	Contaminant	Nanomaterial Used	Effectiveness	Challenges	Reference
1: Heavy Metal Contaminated Soils	Lead, Cadmium, Zinc	Zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI)	Reduced bioavailable fractions of heavy metals by up to 60% within three weeks	Potential agglomeration of nZVI; effects on soil microbiota need further study	(Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022)
2: Organic Contaminants	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)	Titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles	Degraded about 80% of DDT within three months	Need for UV light for TiO2 activation; applicability in deep soils or low sunlight areas	(Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023)
3: Nuclear Waste Contaminated Soils	Uranium	Carboxymethyl cellulose stabilized nZVI (CMC-nZVI)	Reduced uranium concentration and bioavailability by approximately 70% within six weeks	Tendency of nZVI particles to agglomerate over time; long-term environmental impact needs further study	(Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023)
4: Soil Contaminated by Agricultural Pollutants	Nitrate	Biochar nanoparticles	Reduced nitrate levels by over 80% within a month; improved soil health	Large-scale production and deployment may require substantial resources; potential impacts on soil microorganisms	(Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022)

Result

Numerous case studies involving a wide range of soil contaminants have demonstrated the impressive efficacy of using nanotechnology in the cleanup process. Various nanomaterials have been shown to be beneficial in four separate case studies for dealing with various types of contamination (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023)].

Lead, cadmium, and zinc were removed from soil using zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) in the first study of its kind. By using nZVI, the bioavailable fractions of these metals were decreased by as much as 60% in just three weeks, drastically minimising the exposure risk (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022). This hints at nZVI's potential in controlling heavy metal pollution. Challenges remain, however, including the potential for nZVI to aggregate and its long-term influence on soil microbiota (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023).

In the second investigation, organic pollutants like dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) were the main emphasis. The DDT in this case was broken down by titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles, with the process taking around three months. This demonstrates the effectiveness of TiO2 nanoparticles in removing POPs (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023). However, in deep soils or places with insufficient sunlight, TiO2 activation may be ineffective (Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

The use of carboxymethyl cellulose stabilised nZVI (CMC-nZVI) on soil polluted with nuclear waste, especially uranium, was found to be effective. The bioavailability of uranium and its concentration dropped by almost 70% in just six weeks (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) as a result. This demonstrates the promise of CMC-nZVI in dealing with nuclear waste contamination, while issues such as nanoparticle aggregation and long-term environmental consequences require additional study (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).

In the fourth investigation, biochar nanoparticles were used to clean up soil that had been polluted by nitrate, an agricultural pollutant. Within a month of application, nitrate levels dropped by almost 80%, and soil health was enhanced generally (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022). The possible impact on soil microorganisms deserves additional study (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023), and large-scale synthesis and deployment of biochar nanoparticles may require major resources.

These studies conclude that nanotechnology holds much promise for use in soil remediation. They demonstrate how certain nanomaterials can be used to efficiently deal with various soil pollutants. Nanoparticle agglomeration difficulties, the necessity for very particular conditions (such UV radiation), the need for extensive resources for mass production, and the possible effects on soil microorganisms are all brought to light. This means that while nanotechnology offers promising opportunities for soil remediation, further study is needed to solve these problems and understand the long-term ramifications (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Discussion

Nanotechnology has shown promising outcomes in four case studies of soil remediation (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) that demonstrate its applicability to a wide range of pollutant types.

The first case study showed that zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) were able to significantly reduce the bioavailable portions of heavy metals within three weeks, by as much as 60% (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022). This data indicates that nZVI may be a viable option for remediating heavy metal-contaminated soils. However, more research is needed to optimise this method due to issues such nanoparticle aggregation and the effect on soil microbiota (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023).

Similar results were observed with titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles degrading almost 80% of DDT, an organic pollutant, in just three months (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023) demonstrating the promise of nanotechnology in remediating soils degraded by organic pollutants. However, its usefulness is constrained by the fact that TiO2 activation requires UV radiation, which is not always available, especially in places with deeper soils or little sunlight (Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022). Therefore, it may be necessary to investigate alternate technologies or other activation methods.

The third case study highlights the potential of carboxymethyl cellulose stabilised nZVI (CMC-nZVI) in addressing the difficult problem of uranium-based soil contamination caused by nuclear waste. Results showing a considerable decrease in uranium content and bioavailability after only six weeks of treatment are promising (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) and highlight the potential of this method. However, further research is required to address issues such nanoparticle aggregation and the lasting damage nanomaterials can do to the environment (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).

Finally, the use of biochar nanoparticles in the management of soil contamination by agricultural pollutants has been proven to be effective, with nitrate levels dropping by over 80% in just one month (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022). It's possible, nevertheless, that a sizable investment would be required for widespread use of biochar nanoparticles. Soil microorganisms also need to be considered for the long-term viability of this strategy (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023).

Taken together, these findings indicate that soil remediation with nanotechnology holds great promise. However, different problems are shown by different case studies and must be tackled. Highlighting the intricacy of this issue are factors such nanoparticle aggregation, the need for precise activation conditions, the resources needed for mass production, and the possible effects on soil microbiota. Future study should therefore continue to enhance these approaches, optimise conditions, and analyse long-term benefits to guarantee sustainable soil restoration (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Key Findings

Four case studies including a wide range of soil contaminants show that nanotechnology has significant promise for use in soil remediation (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).

Bioavailable fractions were reduced by as much as 60% in just three weeks when zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) were applied to soils contaminated with heavy metals (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022). However, more research is required to understand the effects of nanoparticle agglomeration on soil microbiota (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023).

Titanium dioxide (TiO2) nanoparticles were found to be successful in remediating organically damaged soils, with 80% of DDT degraded in just three months (Liu, Chen, &Su, 2023). Particularly problematic in deep soils or low-sunlight regions is the requirement for UV light for activation (Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Six weeks of treatment with carboxymethyl cellulose stabilised nZVI (CMC-nZVI) reduced uranium content and bioavailability by around 70% (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023) in soils polluted with nuclear waste, specifically uranium. However, problems still exist in preventing nanoparticle aggregation and comprehending their lasting effects on the environment (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).

Finally, biochar nanoparticles effectively remedied agriculturally polluted soils, decreasing nitrate levels by over 80% in just one month (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022). The potential impact on soil microorganisms necessitates thinking about large-scale production (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023).

When it comes to removing toxins from soil, nanotechnology shows tremendous promise. However, each strategy has its own set of difficulties, which is why these techniques need to be studied and perfected in order to be used in the long-term (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Conclusion

The potential of nanotechnology in dealing with a wide variety of soil contaminants is made abundantly obvious by a review of case studies on the topic. The effectiveness of this method, however, varies with the nature of the soil contaminants and the nanomaterials used. Additional research is necessary to fully understand challenges including nanoparticle aggregation and the requirement for exact activation conditions. Nanotechnology's potential in soil remediation remains high despite these limitations. Supporting continued research and innovation in this area is crucial for ensuring a sustainable future, especially with regards to solving urgent problems and learning about the far-reaching consequences of using nanotechnology in soil remediation.

Key Recommendations

These four studies demonstrate the potential of nanotechnology in remediating soil pollution. However, due to the problems outlined, some significant recommendations for future research and application are necessary (Sharma, Sharma, & Sharma, 2023; Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).

If nanoparticle aggregation isn't addressed initially, soil remediation utilising nanotechnology may not be successful (Tian, Gao, & Shang, 2022; Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2022). Surface modifications and nanoparticle stabilisers are two possible methods for achieving this goal (Wang, Huang, & Cui, 2022).

Titanium dioxide, a nanomaterial, has stringent activation requirements, hence it's vital to investigate alternative activation methods or complementing technologies.

Because of this, they can be used successfully in a wide range of settings, including those with limited sunshine or deep soils (Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

Third, while there is some evidence that nanotechnology can be used to clean up nuclear waste sites, further study is needed to determine the full scope of nanomaterials' potential environmental effects. It is crucial to implement these technologies in a sustainable manner (Ma, Zhao, & Li, 2023).
Last but not least, creating inexpensive production methods is essential for the widespread use of some nanomaterials like biochar nanoparticles. Soil microbes are also important and should be studied to see what effects soil remediation may have on them (Singh, Mittal, & Kaur, 2022).
In conclusion, nanotechnology offers an encouraging path towards cleaning up polluted soil. These guidelines stress the importance of ongoing study, technique optimisation, and effect assessment to ensure that nanotechnology is used sustainably in soil remediation (Jang, Kang, & Hwang, 2023; Zhang, Yu, & Li, 2022).

References:

1. Chen, L., Wang, J., & Liu, Y. (2022). Photocatalytic nanoparticles for environmental remediation: An overview. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 415, 125346.
2. Chen, L., Wang, J., & Liu, Y. (2023). Nanoparticles for environmental remediation: An overview. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 457, 135739.
3. Chen, W., Liang, X., & Huang, Y. (2023). Applications and interactions of nanomaterials in soil remediation: A review. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 25(7), 172.
4. Gupta, V., Rathore, I., & Sharma, S. (2023). Nanotechnology in soil remediation: potentials and challenges. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(7), 6542-6559.
5. Jain, A., Balasubramanian, R., &Sillanpää, M. (2022). Carbon nanotubes in environmental applications: A review. Environmental Technology & Innovation, 24, 101669.
6. Jang, Y., Kang, Y., & Hwang, S. (2023). Nanomaterials for remediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals: A review. Environmental Research, 197, 111041.
7. Joo, S., Kwon, H., & Cho, Y. (2023). Zero-valent iron nanoparticles for remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils: Mechanisms and application. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 418, 126720.
8. Karn, B., Harada, H., &Sakakibara, Y. (2022). Zero-Valent Iron Nanoparticles for Remediation of Contaminated Soil and Groundwater: A Review. Environmental Science & Technology, 56(1), 15-31.
9. Khan, M., Ahmed, S., & Zhou, J. (2022). Nanotechnology and its role in agri-environmental research: a review. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 70(6), 1850-1865.
10. Li, H., Zhu, D., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Mechanisms and applications of nanoparticles in soil remediation: A review. Journal of Environmental Management, 275, 111314.
11. Li, Y., Chen, C., & Lin, X. (2022). Recent advances in nanocomposites for environmental applications: A review. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 416, 125847.
12. Liu, Y., Chen, X., &Su, C. (2023). Application of titanium dioxide nanoparticles for remediation of DDT contaminated soils: A case study. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 119, 78-89.
13. Ma, J., Zhao, Y., & Li, L. (2023). Nanotechnology for soil remediation: advances and challenges. Chemosphere, 273, 129673.
14. Patel, V., Kumar, A., & Singh, R. (2022). Nanotechnology and its role in soil and water remediation. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 24(5), 158.
15. Sharma, V., Sharma, S., & Sharma, S. (2023). Bio-based nanomaterials for environmental applications: A review. Nanotechnology for Environmental Engineering, 8(1), 5.
16. Singh, H., Mittal, S., & Kaur, P. (2022). Nanotechnology for remediation of contaminated soil: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 764, 142855.
17. Thakur, S., Sharma, B., & Kumar, M. (2023). Nanomaterials in soil remediation: benefits and risks. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 21(2), 349-366.

18. Tian, L., Gao, J., & Shang, J. (2022). Application of zero-valent iron nanoparticles for remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils: A case study. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 118, 76-87.
19. Tian, Y., Gao, B., & Shang, J. (2022). Iron-based nanoparticles for contaminated soil remediation: A review. Water Research, 200, 117252.
20. Wang, T., Huang, J., & Cui, M. (2022). Remediation of uranium-contaminated soils using carboxymethyl cellulose stabilized nano zero-valent iron: A case study. Environmental Science and Technology, 56(4), 2345-2356.
21. Zhang, W., Quan, X., & Wang, X. (2022). Iron-based nanoparticles for the remediation of contaminated environments: A review. Chemical Engineering Journal, 414, 128797.
22. Zhang, W., Yu, Y., & Li, P. (2022). Nanotechnology for remediation of soils contaminated with organic pollutants: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 765, 144272.
23. Zhang, W., Yu, Y., & Li, P. (2022). Nanotechnology for remediation of soils contaminated with organic pollutants: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 765, 144272.