

# REVIEW OF CHANGE OF VARIABLE THEOREM FOR THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL

### **K Siva Prasad Reddy** Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India

### N K Mani

Department of Mathematics, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we has reviewed about this, Kestelman,H he was first proved the Riemann integral theorem of change in variable for its modern in 1961. the additional his result of theorem with the inverse statement was by preiss in 1970. for that they give another proofs of that theorems we are reviewing within the that formulations. (here f is a function and bounded with its domain) we are except on using concept of Riemann integral of the change of variable.

Keywords: Real Analysis; Riemann Integral; Change of Variable

### INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we denote  $[x_1, x_2]$  as the closed interval connecting the points  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and denote  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2]$  as the classification of all Riemannintegral of real valued functions on  $[x_1, x_2]$ .

**Theorem 1.1**.(Kestelman, H) letconsider the  $g \in R[x_1, x_2]$ ,  $A \in R$ , and f

$$G(y) = \int_a^t g(x) dx + A....(1.1)$$

and  $f\in R(G[x_1,x_2])$  . for this,  $(f\circ G)g\in R[x_1,x_2]$  and the follows that changeing of variable formulae holds that:

$$\int_{G(x_1)}^{G(x_2)} f(t)dt = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f[G(y)]g(y)dy \dots (1.2)$$

In this theorem(see [1.2]) fundamental this result with the following statement.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let us consider  $g \in R[x_1, x_2]$ , G is defined by (1.1), f is bounded on  $[x_1, x_2] = G[x_1, x_2]$  and  $(f \circ G)g \in R[x_1, x_2]$ . Then  $f \in R[x_1, x_2] \subset R[G(x_1), G(x_2)]$  and in the change of variable Formula of the equation (1.2) holds that.

For this, in a number of papers (see [3–6]), the Proof of this Theorems 1.1 were given within the same as formation. For Thisnote is required of bounded of the function f on  $[x_1, x_2] := G([x_1, x_2])$  in Theorem1.2. At this same time, the condition for the function f of bounded on  $[G(x_1), G(x_2)]$  it is needed for the function of existence of the condition of boundedness of integral both side.

## **BioGecko**

**Theorem 1.3.** Let us consider  $g \in R[x_1, x_2]$ , G is defined by (1.1), f is bounded on I :=  $[G(x_1), G(x_2)]$  and (f  $\circ$  G)g  $\in R[x_1, x_2]$ . Then, f  $\in R(I)$  and the change of variable Formula (1.2)holds.

since the proof of Theorem 1.3, we has need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** If g, gh  $\in R[x_1, x_2]$ , then  $g|h| \in R[x_1, x_2]$ .

**Proof.** By Lebesgue's criterion, let be functions g and gh are both continuous on  $[x_1, x_2]$ . Let  $y \in [x_1, x_2]$  be the point wise continuous. If h is continuous at  $y_0$ , then g|h| is continuous at  $y_0$ . If h is discontinuous at  $y_0$ , then the equality  $g(y_0) = 0$  must hold because otherwise, h must be continuous at  $y_0$  as a quotient of continuous functions gh and g. Then, we have the follow that

$$g(\mathbf{y})h(\mathbf{y}) \rightarrow g(\mathbf{y}_0)h(\mathbf{y}_0) = 0.$$

As will as

$$\therefore g(\mathbf{y})|h(\mathbf{y})| = g(\mathbf{y})h(\mathbf{t})\operatorname{sgn} h(\mathbf{y}) \to 0 = g(y_0)|h(y_0)|$$

asy  $\rightarrow y_0$ , which means the continuity of the function  $g/h/at y_0$ , and thus, its continuity a.e.on  $[x_1, x_2]$ . Thus,  $g/h/\in R[x_1, x_2]$  by Lebesgue's criterion.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3**.By in this theorem, there we needed  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \le M_1$  for all  $x \in I$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $C_n := M_1 + n$  and define

$$\forall t \in [x_1, x_2] := \leq G([x_1, x_2])$$

Then the belowfunction:

$$f_{n}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), \text{ if } |f(t)| \leq Cn; \\ Cn, \text{ if } f(t) > Cn; \\ -Cn, \text{ if } f(t) < -Cn; \end{cases}$$

From the given definition for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain the bounded of fn as well as the following equality:  $f_n|_I = f|_I....(1.3)$ 

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ , we obtain that:

$$|fn(t)| \le |(t)|, \dots, (1.4)$$

and  $\forall x \in [x_1, x_2]$ , we have the following:

$$fn(t) \rightarrow f(t)....(1.5)$$

as *n* → ∞. Next, we show that  $(fn \circ G)g \in R[x_1, x_2]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the following

#### A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology

### **BioGecko**

For this formula:

$$f_n = \min \left\{ \max\{f, -C_n\}, C_n \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{14} (f - C_n - |f - C_n| + |3C_n + f - |f - C_n||),$$

from which, for  $h := f \circ G$ , we obtain the follows below equality:

$$(fn \circ G)g = \frac{1}{14}(h - C_n - |h - C_n| + |3C_n + h - |h - C_n||)g.....(1.6)$$

Since by the concept of the theorem  $g, gh \in R[x_1, x_2]$ , then by Lemma 1, we have  $g|h - C_n| \in R[x_1, x_2]$ , and thus,  $g|3C_n + h - |h - C_n|| \in R[x_1, x_2]$  by the same lemma. Finally, (1.6) implies that  $(fn \circ G)g \in R[a, b] \forall n \in N$ 

Since the  $(f \circ G)g$  is the integral and bounded function,  $\exists M_2 > 0$  such that for all  $n \in N, x \in [x_1, x_2]$  holds the that:

$$|fnG(\mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{y})| \le |fG(\mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{y})| \le M_2,$$

For this Additionally, for all  $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we follows that:

$$fnG(y) g(y) \rightarrow f G(y) g(y).$$

By (1.3), using Theorem 1.2 and the convergence theorem of the Riemann integral of Arzela's bounded (see [7]), as  $n \to \infty$  we obtains:

$$\int_{G(x_1)}^{G(x_2)} f(t)dt = \int_{G(x_1)}^{G(x_2)} f_n(t)dt = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f_n(G(y))g(y)dy \to \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(G(y))g(y)dy,$$

Which complete review of the verification of (2) and the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 1.4:** By **[Sarkhle D N]** If TheRiemann integral change of variables formulae (1.1) is valid if either

(1) The Riemann integral of g in the range ofF, or

(2) The bounded function g and the rangeF and its (1.1) exists the Riemann integral of the righthand side of

the proof this theoremit follows

**Lemma 2** If z is a Lipschitz function on  $[x_1, x_2]$  and  $y' \le 0$  almost everywhere then  $y(x_2) \le y(x_1)$ . If y is Lipschitz and y' = 0 almost everywhere then  $y(x_2) = y(x_1)$ .

A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology



Vol 12 Issue 03 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Proof. Form here we wasprove the lemma of first part. Let M > 0 is an Lipschitz constant of x. and

Given the  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists$  a countable of disjoint open intervals  $I_m, m = 1, 2, ..., n$  covers the set where y' either negative or is positive and such that

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} |I_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}.$$

however

On

 $\mathbf{y}' < \frac{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}{2(\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1)}$ 

$$[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2] \setminus \bigcup_1^n \mathbf{I}_n,$$

And the LUB for all  $t \in [x_1, x_2]$  such that

$$y(t) - y(t) \le \frac{\in (t - x_1)}{2(x_2 - x_1)} + \sum_{1}^{n} M |I_m \cap (x_1, t)|$$

consequently  $y(x_2) - y(x_1) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let G = {y  $\in$  (y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>); Fis the continuous ony}, G = {y  $\in$  G ; the function f(y) = 0}, G = {y  $\in$  G; E(y) <0}, and G<sub>+</sub> = {y  $\in$  G; E(t) > 0}.

(1) letus assume

$$G(y) = \int_{\substack{F(y_1) \\ y}}^{F(y)} f,$$
$$E(y) = \int_{\underline{y_1}}^{y} g \circ F f.$$

Such that G we have G(x) = E'(x) = 0 and the bounded function of g, F'(y) = 0 and the continuous function e on y and F(y) = 0. we assume that  $y \in G_+$ , and the positive integers t F > 0 on [y - h, y + h]. we know  $|h| > x_1$  then

$$G(y + h) - G(y) = F(y + h) - F(x)$$

A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology

809

### **BioGecko**

Vol 12 Issue 03 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

S t

$$(G - f)'(y) = 0$$
 .....(1.7)

for  $y \in F_+$ . Similarly for  $y \in F_-$ . Consequently (1.6)constrains in the function G and such that .on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . using second lemma having G - E = Z

$$\int_{F(y_1)}^{F(y_2)} g = \int_{\underline{y_1}}^{y_2} g \circ Ff \dots \dots (1.8)$$

Similarly

$$\int_{F(y_1)}^{F(y_2)} g = \int_{y_1}^{\overline{y_2}} g \circ F f \dots \dots (1.9)$$

$$\int_{\underline{y_1}}^{y_2} g \circ F f = \int_{y_1}^{\overline{y_2}} g \circ F f = \int_{F(y_1)}^{F(y_2)} g$$

(2).we Assume

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\frac{F(\mathbf{y}_2)}{y_1}}^{F(\mathbf{y}_2)} \mathbf{g},$$
$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{y_1}^{y} \mathbf{g} \circ F f.$$

Herethe consider the bounded function fwithin (2) appearthat vile but, in fact, is needed. If g(1/m) = m and f(1/m) = 0 for m = 1, 2, ..., and g(y) = 0, f(y) = 1 if  $y_1 = 0$  &  $y_2 = 1$  And integral exists on one hand side of (1) but does not exists on the other hand of (1). **2Riemann integral through primitives:** 

**Definition** :By [4] consider the function  $f: [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow R$  be an bounded function. And the generalized primitive of the function  $f, E: [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow R$  st for all  $t_1, t_2 \in [x_1, x_2], t_1 < t_2$ , we have

$$\inf_{t_1 \le t \le t_2} f(t) \le \frac{F(t_2) - F(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \le \sup_{t_1 \le t \le t_2} f(t) \dots \dots (2.1)$$

810



Iffor EveryGeneralized primitives is not necessary to be differentiable, yetquicklyalike the relations between of the function of f its derivative.

**Theorem 2.1.** suppose that the function  $f : [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow R$  be bounded and generalized primitive of f is F  $\forall t_1 \in [x_1, x_2)$  for this

$$\min\left\{f(t_{1}), \lim_{t_{1} \to t_{2}^{+}} \inf f(t_{2})\right\} \leq D_{+}f(t_{1})$$
$$\leq D_{+}f(t_{1}) \leq \max\left\{f(t_{1}), \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \sup f(t_{2})\right\}.....(2.2)$$

Now  $\forall t_1 \in (t_1, t_2]$  for this

$$\min\{f(t_1), \lim_{t_2 \to t_1^+} \inf(t_2)\} \le D_- f(t_1) \\ \le D^- f(t_1) \le \max\{f(t_1), \lim_{t_2 \to t_1^+} \sup f(t_1)\}.....(2.3)$$

S t, The continuous function f on t  $\in$  [x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>] then F'(t<sub>1</sub>) = f(t<sub>1</sub>)

**Proof**. Here they are proved only one side-hand inequality only in (2.2) and the Other handinequalities can be proved by similar method. We assume that  $t_1 \in [x_1, x_2)$  to be fixed; they take limit of inferior in the inequality one of (2.1) and see below the better result:

$$D_{+}f(t_{2}) = \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \inf \frac{F(t_{2}) - f(t_{1})}{t_{2} - t_{1}} \ge \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \inf \inf_{t_{1} \le t \le t_{2}} f(t) = \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \inf_{t_{1} \le t \le t_{1}} f(t)$$
$$= \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \min \left\{ f(t_{1}), \inf_{t_{1} \le t \le t_{2}} f(t) \right\}$$
$$= \min \left\{ f(t_{1}), \lim_{t_{2} \to t_{1}^{+}} \inf f(t_{1}) \right\}$$

For this when the f is continuous is an immediate and their derivative exist therefore of (2.2) and (2.3).

**Theorem2.2**Here we was assume that f is continuous function the  $[x_1, x_2]$  on mapping f:  $[x_1, x_2] \rightarrow$ Rthen every generalized primitive of f is a primitive in, conversely, primitives and usual sense are generalized primitives.

**Proof.**By above theorem we ensures that generalized primitives satisfy the F' = f in  $[x_1, x_2]$ , so they are primitives in the usual sense.

Against ,If F is a primitive of f then  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [x_1, x_2], t_1 < t_2$ , by the existence theorem of Mean Value contract theorem some  $z \in (x, y)$  st

$$\frac{F(t_2) - F(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} = F'(x) = f(x),$$

Imply(2.1).



Vol 12 Issue 03 2023 ISSN NO: 2230-5807

Theyare in a establish position of our first test for the Riemann integral in terms of generalized primitives.using The Barrow's Rule for computing integrals of the generalized primitives it isincluded in the test.

**Theorem 2.3:**we assume that g is bounded function and  $C \in R$  mappingg:  $[x_1, x_2] \rightarrow R$  and Thebelow given two statements are equivalent:

1. If the function g is integral on  $[x_1, x_2]$  and  $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} g(t) dt = c$ ;

2. The g satisfies  $G(x_2) - G(x_1) = C$ .

For the Proof.we assume that G:  $[x_1, x_2] \rightarrow R$  be a arbitrary generalized primitive of g and We Consider this  $I = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_n\}$  be a sub intervalsof  $[x_1, x_2]$ . using (2.1), then

$$F(x_2) - F(x_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [G(t_i) - G(t_{i-1})] \le U(f, I),$$

similarly,  $F(x_2) - F(x_1) \ge L(f, I)$ . However I is casualand g is integral function in the  $[x_1, x_2]$ , here they finish the  $G(x_2) - G(x_1) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} g(t) dt = C$ .

vice versa, they assumed to different accounts  $G_*$  and  $G^*$ , is indefination of generalized primitives of g. for the condition of theorem 2.2 verify that

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} g(x)dx = G_*(x_2) - G_*(x_1) = C = G^*(x_2) - G^*(x_1) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} G(x)dx.$$

Here finely reviewed the change of variable inRiemann integral.

### 3. Some applications

### Example 1

The following example illustrates Theorem 1.3 in use: let a:= 1,b:=2,f(y) := 2y, F(y) :=  $y^2$  and  $g(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}, t < 0 \\ 0, t = 0 \end{cases}$ Clearly, f

is unbounded on G([-3, 1]) = [0, 5], but there exists

$$\int_{1}^{5} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} = \int_{G(a)}^{G(b)} g(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} g(F(y))f(y)dy = \int_{-3}^{1} 2sgn(y)dy = 2$$

A Journal for New Zealand Herpetology



For this some other applications of our result, we obtain as a result change of a variable of Riemann improper integral of the theorem on (in one direction) under general conditions.

**Corollary 1**(by1.3).we assume that  $x_1 < x_2$ ,  $y_1 < y_2$ , *f* is bounded on  $[x_1, x_3]$  for all  $x_3 \in (x_1, x_2)$ , *f*  $\in R[y_1, y_3]$  for all  $y_3 \in (y_1, y_2)$ ,

$$F(t) = \int_{y_1}^{y} f(t)dx = I$$
$$\lim_{t \to t^-} \int_{t_1}^{t} g(F(t))dt = I$$

And

$$\lim_{s\to\beta-}\int\limits_{\alpha}^{s}f(t)dt=I$$

### Conclusion

Finely My conclusion with the framework is that the about change of variable integral defined by Sarkhel and Torchinsky, A may be aforce to be reckoned with. the Riemann integral in undergraduate studies gives the scholar more powerful tools and asan example, letting the differentiability of internal function which is common in first-year real analysis be theoreticallywell-grounded. Some problems with the integral are alluded to within the preceding discussion and thus I don't think it'll

be possible, albeit it had been through with the simplest of intentions, to the Riemann theory entirely. Especially if we would like a neater thanks to generalize to many changes of a variable. Since Kesselman's theorem is far simpler todetermine for the Riemann integral—and to more general spaces. We do have a characterization of the change of variable integral by Riemann, however, which could be an honest way for college kids to become familiarized with the concept.

### REFERENCES

Change of variable in Riemann integration. By Kestelman, H Math. Gaz. 1961, 45, 17–23. [CrossRef]

Preiss, D.; Uher, J. Poznámka k včeťe o substituci pro Riemann °uvintegrál. čCasopisPčeStováNí Mat. 1970, 95, 345–347. [CrossRef]

Tandra, H. A new proof of the change of variable theorem for the Riemann Integral. Amer. Math. Monthly 2015, 122, 795–799. [CrossRef]

Torchinsky, A. The change of variable formula for the Riemann integral. arXiv 2019, arXiv:1904.07446v1.

Gordon, R.A. The bounded convergence theorem for the Riemann integral. Real Anal. Exch. 1998, 24, 25–28. [CrossRef]

Sarkhel,D.N.; Výborný, R. A change of variables theorem for the Riemann integral. Real Anal. Exch. 1996, 22, 390–395. [CrossRef]

Puoso, R.L. Riemann integration via primitives for a new proof to the change of variable theorem. arXiv 2011, arXiv:1105.5938v1.